



RESS Analysis & Reports

Should People Accept Banning Cigarettes

An Essay Reported by

Iman Saud Dhannoon (Asst. Instructor)

Faculty of Medicine/University of Tikrit/Iraq

emansuod@gmail.com

It is commonly stated that one cigarette can burn the whole world which indicates that smoking is a major challenge throughout the ages. Some people think that banning cigarettes is a very useful step to restore health and inhibit risky diseases. On the other hand, others regard this habit as something hard to obtain because they believe that smoking can help them in enhancing their concentration and make them relax in various life situations. It is completely positive to accept people banning cigarettes on grounds of decreasing air pollution, diminishing personal expenses, and reducing risky diseases.

The most compelling reason for holding my view is to decrease air pollution. This is important because pollution which is caused by smoking is considerably dangerous for all species of the world, among them human beings. For example, the smoker does not affect only himself negatively, the bad impact extends to involve all people around because the smoke is widely spread anywhere causing pollution to the air and people as well. Ultimately, since the components of cigarettes are mostly chemical, the bad effect caused by carbon oxide may poison the respiratory system, lungs, in particular for a large number of smokers

A further justification for people should ban cigarettes is diminishing personal expenses. Obviously, the main purpose of this is to lessen the amount of money which is spent on cigarettes, and people who give up smoking may benefit from this money in using it for many other purposes. For example, when a cigarette consumer smokes three or four packets a day, he will, with no doubt, put out not less than \$20 what is approximately \$600 a month and yearly approaches to \$72000, which is really a waste of money. The greatest benefit of this is that people, especially the young may save money instead, and inhibit them from committing certain crimes such as stealing to get money.

Although the above advantages of banning cigarettes are clear, some may argue that risky diseases can be dealt with by using alternatives such as medical or traditional herbal remedies. This is true to some extent because these remedies may be useful because they are radically found out to treat sick people. Nevertheless, this is not always the case, especially, when so many poor people are incapable to buy medicines or prepare herbal drugs at home, and banning cigarettes might significantly play an important role to deal with such diseases. For example, when we study the case thoroughly, we will find that there are many crucial cases help people reduce risky diseases rather than drugs. In other words, there is no person has the ability to give up smoking suffers from risky illnesses. Therefore, it is significant to state that people banning cigarettes may benefit of saving much more money, and live in a rather healthy clean surrounding breathing pure air.

In conclusion, I strongly agree that people should give up smoking. As we can see, banning cigarettes would be of a great benefit to protect people and environment as well, if law is therapeutically applied. It is recommended that authorities should impose high taxes on imported cigarettes and cigarette manufacturing industry besides posting adverts/paints showing risks caused by smoking.